

THE LEGAL EAGLE

The Official Publication for Members of the
Sacramento Legal Secretaries Association

*Happy
Valentine's
Day, Legal
Professionals!*

Inside this Issue

Event Review: Day-In-Court

Nominations for
2021-2022
Executive Board

& more

February 2021 | Book 2021 | Issue 1

Table of Contents

President’s Message3

Calendar11

CCLS Quiz16

Event Review

 Day-In-Court4

 Day-In-Court (handout)5

Executive Board

 2020-218

 Nominations for 2021-2215

Feature Article

 Older People Working Longer,
 Earning More.....13

Future Events

 February SLSA meeting
 CEQA Basics by K. Oehlschlager.....7

Member News12

Sponsors

 Web Editor Design Services.....14



Image courtesy of <http://highresolution.photography/typewriter-love/>

Interested in advertising with
The Legal Eagle?
Or on SLSA’s web site?
Inquire with the editor!

The Legal Eagle wants YOUR help!

Are you an SLSA member, and do you...

- Enjoy taking photographs? And might like to snap a photo (legal and/or Sacramento-related) to be used for a future cover image for ***The Legal Eagle?*** Or ...
- Like sharing knowledge with others and have a knack for writing? Or ...
- Have an inclination to find helpful/interesting professional articles that the legal professionals in our association might enjoy reading?

If you do, please write to your editor!
Gisele Mitsuk, Editor (gmitsuk@gmail.com)
The Legal Eagle

Cover photo: Sacramento’s Tower Bridge

Officially dedicated in 1935 and also known as the Sacramento River Bridge, the Tower Bridge was designed by architect Alfred Eichler. The Tower Bridge replaced the M Street Bridge when city officials realized the population doubled in the roughly 20 years prior, and in case of war, a better water crossing was needed. Originally the vertical lift bridge was painted silver with blue concrete pillars. In 1976, the bridge was painted ochre. And in 2002, online votes of residents within 35 miles determined the Tower Bridge would be painted a shade of gold which it is today. Given the era in which the bridge was erected, emails and text messages were not sent out to announce its completion but instead 1,000 homing pigeons were released.

Cover photo courtesy of Gisele Mitsuk.



PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE

WELCOME TO 2021

February 1, 2021

As you read this message, we have now crossed the threshold of 30 plus days into the year 2021. Many of us want to put 2020 far behind us, so I won't spend a lot of time on all the changes our planet faced during that momentous year. Instead, I will speak about one thing that has stood out among all the feelings I experienced last year: Gratitude.

For me, gratitude became my lifeline and how I managed through all the momentous experiences that showed up during this past year. Gratitude helped me to change my perspective about what I was experiencing. It helped me to see things from a different light. As Dr. Wayne Dyer once put it, "When you change the way you look at things, the things you look at change." That change in perspective would many times make a world of difference for me.

Maintaining a gratitude journal was extremely helpful. Writing down things I am grateful for keeps me looking for more things for which to be grateful. Appreciating something, praising something, feeling good about something tells the universe, "More of this, please!" As a result, more good things for which to be grateful shows up, creating a wonderful cycle of goodness.

I am taking this same energy of gratitude into the New Year. What are some of your goals for this New Year?

I am grateful for my wonderful fellow members of the Executive Board. Through your hard work and diligence, we were able to accomplish many wonderful things for SLSA during 2020. I am also grateful for those who stepped up and handled chair positions this year. Thank you for lending your time and energy to the needs of SLSA this past year.

As we move into 2021, may we all find more things for which to be grateful.

Love and hugs,

Marci

Marci Frazier,
SLSA President 2020-21



SLSA's Annual Day in Court

Review by Marci Frazier, SLSA President

On January 21, 2021, Sacramento Legal Secretaries Association held its Annual Court Updates event virtually, combining both the State and Federal Updates as one event. There were over 90 virtual attendees. Seven speakers were scheduled including three federal judges (Hon. Kimberly Mueller, Hon. Allison Claire and Hon. Carolyn Delaney), a representative from the U.S. Bankruptcy Court (Cathy Waltz), and representatives from three superior courts (Rosalie Tucker of El Dorado, Jennifer Tisdale of Placer County, and Amy Malone of Sacramento County). Ten vendors sponsored the event and contributed an array of raffle prizes.

I would like to focus on a few of the highlights brought out by our speakers. Each speaker was presented with five questions to answer during their presentation:

- *What will the criteria be for re-opening the courts?*
- *Will virtual courtrooms continue?*
- *What challenges have always been there but were highlighted by the stay-at-home orders?*
- *Will the courts continue to accept electronic filing?*
- *What have been your challenges/pet peeves (what can we do to support you and not make you crazy)?*

Each speaker tried to focus their presentation around one or more of these questions. Following are the highlights of each presentation.

Eastern District of California

(presented by Honorable Kimberly Mueller, Honorable Allison Claire and Honorable Carolyn Delaney)

What will the criteria be for re-opening the courts? While the courthouses are closed to the public until further notice, court proceedings continue virtually, except for trials. We have developed the following “gating” criteria in making a decision of when we can open: (1) whether or not there has been an exposure in our federal courthouses (Sacramento, Bakersfield, Fresno); (2) whether there has been any exposure in the preceding 14 days; (3) will our partner agencies/tenants be able to support reopening; (4) have we seen a downward trend of covid-19 cases in the past 28 days in the areas served by our courthouses; and (5) what is the status the state tiers as defined by California’s Blueprint for a Safer Economy. We will likely update our gating criteria in light of impending vaccinations, but we have advised our staff that they can assume they are teleworking through June 1, 2021.

Will virtual courtrooms continue? Yes. Scheduling conferences can be held by Zoom, which allows for one-on-one communication with each attorney. Some motion hearings may also continue to be conducted virtually, where time and money can be saved. Some evidentiary hearings may continue to be conducted virtually. Whether to hold a particular hearing or conference virtually will be determined on a case-by-case basis.

-Continued on page 6-

Sacramento Superior Court - 2021 Civil Judicial Assignments

Civil Assignment	Department Information	Judicial Officer
Civil Presiding Judge Ex Parte ➤ Ex partes must be reserved with the clerk prior to filing.	Dept. 47 Ph: (916) 874-5487	Presiding Judge Russell L. Hom
Civil Presiding Judge Law and Motion ➤ Hearings must be reserved with the clerk at (916) 874-5487.	Dept. 47 Ph: (916) 874-5487	Presiding Judge Russell L. Hom
Civil Trial Assignment ➤ See the court's website for additional information regarding our Civil Trials. https://www.saccourt.ca.gov/general/coronavirus.aspx	Dept. 47 Ph: (916) 874-5487	Presiding Judge Russell L. Hom
Gang Database Calendar	Dept. 41 Ph: (916) 874-5661	Judge David De Alba
Minor's Compromise ➤ All Petitions shall be filed with the time, date and department listed as "TBD".	Dept. 42 Ph: (916) 874-5672	Judge Allen H. Sumner
Civil Case Management ➤ Calendar remains suspended until further notice. Please continue to check the courts website for updated information. https://www.saccourt.ca.gov/general/coronavirus.aspx	Dept. 31 Ph: (916) 874-6353	Judge Gerrit W. Wood
	Dept. 43 Ph: (916) 874-7561	Judge Thadd A. Blizzard
Law and Motion ➤ All hearings must be reserved with the clerk in the department.	Dept. 53 Ph: (916) 874-7858	Judge Shama H. Mesiwala
	Dept. 54 Ph: (916) 874-7848	Judge Christopher E. Krueger
Complex Civil Cases ➤ All hearings must be reserved with the clerk in the department.	Dept. 40 Ph: (916) 874-7001	Judge Richard K. Sueyoshi
	Dept. 41 Ph: (916) 874-5661	Judge David De Alba
Orders of Examination (OX)	Dept. 43 Ph: (916) 874-7561	Judge Thadd A. Blizzard
Fee Waivers	Dept. 33 Ph: (916) 874-5591	Judge Laurel D. White
Firearms Calendar	Dept. 36 Ph: (916) 874-7661	Judge Stephen Acquisto
Writ of Mandate/CEQA ➤ All hearings must be reserved with the clerk in the department.	Dept. 17 Ph: (916) 874-5511	Judge James P. Arguelles
	Dept. 23 Ph: (916) 874-5754	Judge Laurie M. Earl
	Dept. 27 Ph: (916) 874-6697	Judge Steven M. Gevercer
	Dept. 21 Ph: (916) 874-5924	Judge Shelleyanne W.L. Chang
Settlement Conference	Dept. 59 Ph: (916) 874-8200	Judge Ben Davidian

SLSA's Annual Day in Court | Review by Marci Frazier, SLSA President
-Continued from page 4-

What challenges have always been there but were highlighted by the stay-at-home orders? As many are aware, we have a long-standing infrastructure problem – there are too few judges for our population and our caseload. Our available staff is tied to the number of judges. Teleworking is in place, but is not considered to be the most efficient way of conducting business. We have one judge sitting in our Fresno division handling two caseloads – Judge Drozd. In our criminal cases, assistant U.S. attorneys far outnumber our judges. In addition to our infrastructure issues, some training, information access and equity issues were exposed by the pandemic. We've worked to bring everyone up to the same standard. We've done our best to make teleworking as comfortable and efficient as possible for our staff.

What have been your challenges/pet peeves (what can we do to support you and not make you crazy)? Here are a few pointers that legal secretaries can give to their attorneys: (1) Court deputies are more burdened than ever. Give them as much support as you can by being patient and understanding. (2) Remember that court held on Zoom is still court and not an informal setting. Attorneys should wear a suit, not a Hawaiian shirt. Use titles, not first names. Speak slowly and clearly so that the deputy can take the record correctly. (3) When filing documents, clean pagination is vital. File exhibits separately for ease of access. When using the drop down menus, remember to search through all options available to make sure the correct one is selected. (4) Nomenclature for the Magistrate Judges: the form of address is always "Judge" never "Magistrate". The noun is "Judge" or "Magistrate Judge", never "Magistrate".

Contact information:

Patti Andrews, Judicial Assistant to Chief Judge Mueller – pandrews@caed.uscourts.gov

Donna Dal Porto, Judicial Assistant for Judge Claire – ddalporto@caed.uscourts.gov

Danielle Eichhorn, Judicial Assistant to Judge Delaney – deichhorn@caed.uscourts.gov

Eastern District of California Bankruptcy Court
(presented by Cathy Waltz)

What will the criteria be for re-opening the courts? There are always people in the court's office from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., with staff rotations. Most court hearings are held telephonically via CourtCall. Only a handful of hearings take place on Zoom. We are not sure when the courthouse will re-open or when the judges will begin to hold court in person. Section 341 meetings are held remotely by the Trustees. The 341 meetings link on our website (CAEB.uscourts.gov) lists each Trustee and instructions for how to attend telephonically.

Will virtual courtrooms continue? As all meetings are held via CourtCall, it is likely this platform will continue for hearings throughout the balance of the pandemic and beyond. There are links on our website that are very helpful for debtors to handle many functions pro-se. Debtors can access their discharge online, and can pay installment payments online.

What have been your challenges/pet peeves (what can we do to support you and not make you crazy)? (1) When contacting the court regarding a case, please be sure to leave the case number in your voice message. (2) When calling and leaving a message, please leave the extension for call-back,

-Continued on page 9-

Sacramento Legal Secretaries Association



VIRTUAL [Zoom]

General Membership Meeting

Thursday, February 18, 2021, at 6:00 p.m.

Zoom session opens at 5:30 p.m. for meet and greet

CEQA Basics

Guest Speaker:

Kathryn Oehlschlager, Esq.
Partner, Downey Brand LLP



MCLE & CCLS Credit: Sacramento Legal Secretaries Association is a local association of Legal Professionals, Incorporated, an approved provider, and certifies that this activity has been approved for maximum continuing legal education credit in the amount of 0.50 hours by the State Bar of California.

Registration Fee:

\$10 SLSA Members and Non-Members
RSVP by Wednesday, February 17, 2021

Two Way to Register:

- Online at www.slsa.org under "Events" (Preferred) - pay by credit card or check
 - Via Email to reservations@slsa.org and pay by check

A link and instructions for access to the virtual meeting will be emailed upon receipt of your registration.

Make checks payable to "SLSA" and mail to:

Christie Kaelber, Registration Chair
c/o Downey Brand LLP
621 Capitol Mall, 18th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814

**Cancellations must be received 48 hours in advance for a refund. No-Shows will be billed.*

**Special thanks
to all of our
Vendor Partners!**

Executive Board 2020-2021



Marci Frazier
President



Sarah Martinez
Vice-President



Daphne Boyd
Secretary



Alicia Malerbi
Secretary



Corene Rodder
Governor



Dawn Willis
Parliamentarian



Brenda Bracy, CCLS
Executive Advisor

***Thank you* SLSA Executive Board!**



Without your energy, time and dedication to your volunteer roles, we would not be the same association!

SLSA's Annual Day in Court | Review by Marci Frazier, SLSA President

-Continued from page 6-

or the cell phone number if you are calling from a cell phone. (3) Attorneys registered for e-filing and want to change their address can use our e-filing system. There are four specific reasons for address changes that are listed. If one of those options do not apply, call the court (Sacramento 916-930-4400; Modesto 209-521-5160; Fresno 559-499-5800) and we can determine if the attorney's address can be changed without having to file a substitution of attorney.

Contact information:

Cathy Waltz, Administrative & Courtroom Services Manager for U.S. Bankruptcy Court –

cathy_waltz@caeb.uscourts.gov

El Dorado County Superior Court

(presented by Rosalie Tucker)

What will the criteria be for re-opening the courts? Reopening depends on COVID-19 restriction tiers. The court will maintain a level of safety and security for everyone. We are guided by the JCC (Judicial Council of California). We have developed an appointment schedule if someone needs to request copies and view files. We are changing the tentative ruling systems. We have online requests for copies docket items for civil and family law matters. We have started an e-filing system for requesting copies and the copies will be emailed to the requestor. We are checking drop boxes on an hourly basis. Our state's emergency orders have put a stop to our criminal and civil jury trials.

Will virtual courtrooms continue? Yes. The court will continue to do 99% of its hearings by Zoom.

Will the courts continue to accept electronic filing? Yes. We have two clerks assigned to that task.

What challenges have always been there but were highlighted by the stay-at-home orders?

We do not have any of our case files online. We are therefore inundated with phone calls because of that. We have a new Case Management System (CMS) coming in by the end of the year [2021] which will allow for online viewing of the case docket.

What have been your challenges/pet peeves (what can we do to support you and not make you crazy). Please be patient when you can't get through. We are very understaffed and are working as hard as we can to respond to all calls. We need everyone to have a higher level of understanding and compassion.

Contact information:

Rosalie Tucker, Operations Supervisor, El Dorado County – rtucker@eldoradocourt.org

Placer County Superior Court

(presented by Jennifer Tisdale)

What will the criteria be for re-opening the courts? We are down staff members so our processing is a bit slower. We have E-filing coming soon. Right now, we are in the testing phase with that. On our website, attorneys can gain access to their documents, and they can now be downloaded. Attorneys need to go to the attorney corner on the website using Online Case Access.

-Continued on next page-

SLSA's Annual Day in Court | Review by Marci Frazier, SLSA President
-Continued from page 9-

Will virtual courtrooms continue? We are anticipating that virtual hearing will be ongoing in the foreseeable future. We posted a notice regarding restrictions on some of the cases. Family law settlement conferences are still mandatory to appear by video. If parties can't appear virtually, you can request in-person appearance, but it has to have prior approval. Jury trials are continued until February 12th, and will be reassessed at that time. Jury selection has been taking place at Sierra College.

Contact information:

Jennifer Tisdale, Operations Director – jtisdale@placer.courts.ca.gov

Sacramento County Superior Court
(presented by Amy Malone)

What will the criteria be for re-opening the courts? No specific information available at this point. We will continue to focus on serving via remote means. We will post any changes and updates on the website.

Will virtual courtrooms continue? Yes. There is no way to determine if virtual hearings will remain past the pandemic. We hope to find out soon.

Will the court continue to accept electronic filing? We don't have a true e-filing case management service in place at this time. We have allowed for electronic document exchange between the court and filing parties. We are not sure if those processes will stay for the long haul.

What challenges have always been there but were highlighted by the stay-at-home orders?

Here are a few tips to avoid filing rejections: (1) Be sure to submit the appropriate filing fees for your filing. Please review the fee schedule that was updated on January 2020 and is online. (2) Hearing reservations: since we've changed our processes, please ensure you have the time, date, department and reservation # on all pleadings when you submit documents to the court for filing.

Contact information:

Amy Malone, Operations Manager Civil Section – malonea@saccourt.ca.gov

Editor's Note: Please remember that the above information was current as of the date of the State and Federal Court Update event held on January 21, 2021.





CALENDAR



<u>DATE</u>	<u>EVENT</u>
February 2, 2021 12:00 p.m.	<u>CCC Macro Pro Styles and Numbering Basics</u> Webinar (CCLS credit only)
February 6, 2021 (Sat) 9:00 a.m., 10:30 a.m. and 12:30 p.m.	<u>Day of Education</u> Live webinars (CCLS or MCLE credit) 9:00-10:30 FEDERAL LAW: USDC, Northern Dist. CA Overview and Impact of COVID-19 10:30-11:30 PROBATE AND ESTATE PLANNING: What is a Professional Fiduciary? 12:30-1:30 SPECIALTY LAW: The Changing Role of the Legal Professional
February 9, 2021 12:00 p.m.	<u>Calendaring Basics: State and Federal Court Litigation</u> Webinar (CCLS credit only)
February 11, 2021 12:00 p.m.	<u>Employee Engagement: What Does it Have to Do With Me?</u> Webinar (CCLS credit only) <i>FREE</i> for LPI members!
February 12, 2021 (Fri)	Lincoln's Birthday (state courts closed)
February 14, 2021 (Sun)	Valentine's Day
February 15, 2021 (Mon)	Presidents' Day (state courts closed) Washington's Birthday (federal courts closed)
February 17, 2021 12:00 p.m.	<u>CCC Macro Pro Styles and Numbering Basics</u> Webinar (CCLS credit only)
February 18, 2021 6:00 p.m. (5:30 meet and greet)	<u>SLSA General Membership Meeting</u> "CEQA Basics" with guest speaker Kathryn Oehlschlager, Esq. Virtual meeting via Zoom (CCLS or MCLE credit)
February 20, 2021 (Sat)	<u>LPI Third Quarterly Conference / Board of Governors Meeting</u> "Eye on LPI" Virtual meeting via Zoom <i>FREE</i> event
February 23, 2021 12:00 p.m.	<u>Advanced Calendaring: A Detailed Look</u> Webinar (CCLS credit only)

*If you are interested in a webinar, you can visit the LPI web site and download the event flyer with further information, cost and deadline to register. If you are a member of SLSA, you are a member of LPI.

*If you wanted to see a past webinar but missed it, visit LPI (<https://www.legalprofessionalsinc.org/recorded-webinars-for-sale/>).

*For events scheduled into March 2021, visit LPI's web site (<https://www.legalprofessionalsinc.org/events/>).

MEMBER NEWS

January BIRTHDAYS



Jennifer Anthony – January 1

Terry Olson – January 4

Brianna Richardson – January 12

Sarah Martinez – January 31



February BIRTHDAYS



Daphne Boyd – February 1

Tammy Hunt – February 20

Cyrene Farrell – February 27



In Remembrance

Debra Clark was an active SLSA member and served as a delegate for many years. Debra was close friends with many SLSA members and they are broken-hearted for Debra's passing. (January 5, 2021)

From SLSA member Jan Ainsworth:

“If you knew her... you loved her. And I, along with many others, will miss her dearly. RIP Debra.”



Older People Working Longer, Earning More

<https://www.census.gov/library/stories/2018/04/aging-workforce.html>

by ERIKA MCENTARFER

APRIL 24, 2018

By 2030, the U.S. Census Bureau projects that one in every five residents will be older than age 65. What do we know about older workers' labor market participation and earnings *today*?

We know that the number of older workers is on the rise. We also know that these workers are not only making more money on average than ever before but are outpacing the average earnings growth of other age groups.

“All age groups experienced an 18 to 22 percent growth during the 1994 to 2000 time period. But after 2000, this was not the case.”

James Spletzer, Principal Economist, Center for Economic Studies

Statistics from the Bureau of Labor Statistics show that the employment-population ratio of persons aged 65 and over has risen from approximately 12% in the mid-1990s to over 18% in 2015 and 2016. Data from the Census Bureau's Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics (LEHD) program can tell us more about the jobs of older persons.

“Statistics from the LEHD program at the Census Bureau show that not only are older persons working more, but these older workers are also earning more than in previous years” said James Spletzer, Principal Economist at the Center for Economic Studies. “Inflation-adjusted average monthly earnings of persons aged 65 and older were \$4,092 in 2015, which is substantially higher than the \$2,276 statistic in 1994.”

This growth of average earnings of older workers is greater than the growth of average earnings of other age groups. The figure below shows inflation-adjusted average monthly earnings for various age groups from 1994 to 2015. As noted earlier, the average earnings of persons aged 65 and older exhibits 80 percent growth during that time. This growth, both in levels and in percentage terms, is substantially higher than any other age group. The 1994-2015 growth rate of average real monthly earnings for all age groups in the figure is:

- 17 percent for workers aged 14-24, a \$245 increase from \$1,431 to \$1,676.
- 20 percent for workers aged 25-34, a \$600 increase from \$3,049 to \$3,649.
- 32 percent for workers aged 35-44, a \$1,254 increase from \$3,939 to \$5,193.
- 32 percent for workers aged 45-54, a \$1,390 increase from \$4,363 to \$5,753.
- 41 percent for workers aged 55-64, a \$1,629 increase from \$3,928 to \$5,557.
- 80 percent for workers aged 65-99, a \$1,816 increase from \$2,276 to \$4,092.

“It's also interesting to look at the past 15 years and see how older workers' average earnings have grown relative to other age groups,” Spletzer said. “All age groups experienced an 18 to 22 percent growth during the 1994 to 2000 time period. But after 2000, this was not the case.”

From 2000 to 2015, the average earnings of workers aged 14-24 and 25-34 declined in real terms, while the average earnings of workers aged 35-44 increased by 8.4 percent during these 15 years. Workers aged 45-54 and 55-64 did somewhat better, with 11.5 percent and 16.6 percent growth, respectively. However, these are all much smaller than the 47.6 percent growth that older workers aged 65 and over experienced.

-Continued next page -

Older People Working Longer, Earning More | by ERIKA MCENTARFER
-Continued from page 13-

The earnings statistics cited in this release do not indicate the source of the earnings. Further research is needed to distinguish what percent of older workers are working full-time at jobs where they have worked for many years, versus what percent of older workers are in part-time jobs. More research is also needed to understand the degree to which the earnings of older workers supplements any retirement income they receive from other sources.

DATA APPENDIX

These earnings statistics are from the Quarterly Workforce Indicators (QWI) produced by the Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics (LEHD) program at the U.S. Census Bureau. To replicate the Figure, start at the LEHD website lehd.ces.census.gov/ and click on QWI Explorer. Choose “United States” for the geography and choose “EarnS – Full Quarter Employment (Stable) Average Monthly Earnings” as the indicator. Choosing “Yearly Averages” instead of “Quarters” results in nominal earnings by age group for the 1994-2015 period of time. The statistics in the graph above were deflated by the Price Index for Gross Domestic Product (Table 1.1.4) available from the Bureau of Economic Analysis, with an index year of 2015=100. The age ranges 14-18, 19-21, and 22-24 were aggregated into one age group 14-24 using employment weights available from the LED Extraction Tool on the LEHD website.

Erika McEntarfer is head of research for the LEHD program at the Center for Economic Studies (CES) at the U.S. Census Bureau.



WebEditor
Design Services
est. 1995



Scott Herman
716-694-9992
www.webeditor.com
info@webeditor.com



**SLSA has relied on
WebEditor since 2009.**

You should too!



Call for Nominations

The Nominations & Elections Committee will be accepting nominations for 2021-2022 SLSA board positions and delegates between now and February 18, 2021.

SLSA Executive Board members are all volunteers. We are legal professionals who are committed to helping others and the legal community. We strive to meet the goals of the Association. We present monthly educational meetings, our annual state and federal court update meetings, and our monthly newsletter to help keep you updated, informed, marketable, and an asset to your office. We also have charitable projects throughout the year.

The position of President is the only position that requires that the nominee have served for at least one year on the Executive Board. All other positions require no experience! Any new Board member will receive plenty of training and guidance from your predecessor and other Board members. Additionally, at the beginning of each fiscal year, there is a workshop on parliamentary procedures taught by a Registered Parliamentarian for all Board members.

The Nominations Committee is also accepting nominations for delegate positions for the May Annual Conference. Annual Conference will take place May 20-23, 2021, here, at the Doubletree by Hilton. As a delegate, you will attend this one conference and represent SLSA's members at the business meetings. Delegates also attend educational workshops and Saturday night banquet – it is an awesome way to learn about LPI, attend educational classes, meet and network with other legal professionals from around the state, and also earn SLSA Chapter Achievement Points!

The deadline to submit nominations is on or before February 18, 2021. Please email the committee co-chairs with any nominations or questions.

If you have looked at the list of Committee Chairpersons on SLSA's website, under "About," you would have noticed a lot of OPEN positions. Some of these chair positions require more time, others are a one-time deal (one and done). It is sad to see so many unfilled volunteer positions; this makes more work for SLSA's President and board members. So, when the President asks for volunteers, we hope you say YES.

Dawn Forgeur (dawn.forgeur@stoel.com)

Crystal Rivera (crivera@somachlaw.com)

Nominations & Elections Committee Co-Chairs





SACRAMENTO LEGAL SECRETARIES ASSOCIATION

MEMBER, LPI®, EDUCATING CALIFORNIA'S LEGAL PROFESSIONALS

CCLS QUIZ

February 2021

[A REFRESHER QUIZ – ANSWERS IN MARCH 2021 NEWSLETTER]

A. Aggravated Mayhem	F. Hitch Motion	K. Pitchess Motion
B. Ballard Motion	G. Massiah Motion	L. Trombetta Motion
C. Beagle Motion	H. Mens Rea	M. Wobbler
D. Brady Motion	I. Ne Exeat	
E. Corpus Delecti	J. Nolle Prosequi	

1. _____ A writ or court order which forbids the person to whom it is addressed to leave the country, the state, or the jurisdiction of the court.
2. _____ A motion for psychiatric examination of prosecutor's witnesses (victims).
3. _____ The "guilty mind" necessary to establish criminal responsibility.
4. _____ A request made by the defendant for discovery of a peace officer's personnel file with regards to any complaints or acts of excessive force and violence.
5. _____ A motion made by defense when they believe the District Attorney has not turned over exculpatory and material evidence.
6. _____ A felony which provides either a county jail sentence or a state prison commitment and which can be held to answer as a felony or a misdemeanor.
7. _____ Causing permanent disability or disfigurement with specific intent to cause the injury and with extreme indifference to well-being of another person.
8. _____ Body of the crime. The objective proof that a crime has been committed. It sometimes refers to the body of the victim of a homicide or to the charred remains of a burned house, but the term has a broader meaning. For the state to introduce a confession or to convict the accused, it must prove the occurrence of a specific injury or loss and a criminal act was the source of that particular injury or loss.
9. _____ A request to exclude evidence.
10. _____ A request to exclude any reference of the defendant's prior conviction to the jury.
11. _____ A motion to exclude fraudulently obtained confessions.
12. _____ Decision by a prosecutor not to go forward with charging a crime.
13. _____ A motion to suppress evidence for failure to preserve the same.